

Josh: clarified there is overlap between the two separate groups; some individuals sit on/attend both groups.

Greg: the next CSEN SW-SAZ meeting is April 5.

Annette Gurdjian – Here to catch up on goings-on after being out of country 3 months. Bothered by a couple words in the SW-SAZ plan, wants people to be aware: R1 zoning allows multi-family and Cascade Manor units – which really should be Institutional zoning (has different/large impact on neighborhood, deliveries, workers, etc.). Should be changed. Also, news alert for CSEN-involved folks: Eben Foder sent email today that is important – notes that the fact sheet from city is not neutral.

Ron Bevirt: Is on the CSEN planning subcommittee; also cites Eben Foder email saying that the city planning department is partisan. Ron is a 29th and Charnelton resident, so fate of Willard School property is dearly important; rather not see developed as apartment buildings. School can/should be used as tech/incubator space, job creation space. Like to see space long term as community center rather than developed – open space is valuable, can be forever lost (once paved over/developed). In regards to the South Willamette Business corridor (subject of ongoing road improvements), needs to be widened through eminent domain; SW-SAZ's proposed gradual approach as lot by lot develops is too haphazard (to integrate the street design with store fronts); really need 4 lanes plus bike lanes. Working with (city transportation planner) Chris Henry on this.

Agenda item: **MINUTES APPROVAL** **Presenter:** Todd Miller

Discussion:

February meeting minutes were emailed to the board shortly after the February meeting.

MOTION	Moved by: Greg Giesy	Seconded by: Tim Blood
Accept February meeting minutes as drafted.		
Outcome: For: 11 Against: 0 Abstain: 1		Motion Carries

Agenda item: **SPECIAL PROGRAM TOPIC: 90by30 Project** **Presenter:** Phyllis Barkhurst

Discussion: 7:20 pm

Deb introduced Phyllis as an old friend/colleague, worked at crisis centers several different states. Started discussing child abuse reduction and project and neighborhood connection.

Phyllis: "90by30" is a private endeavor, has relationship with UO, but not formally affiliated.

Background: Heavily involved in child abuse intervention efforts. Noticed after the fact no decrease in demand for services after 30 years of post-incident intervention programs. Questioned what could be done on prevention (not just intervention)? Looked worldwide for examples.

Phyllis has now made this a keystone of her career – to set up prevention model that is replicable.

No forming regional leadership in Eugene; having neighborhood associations is unique (in the country) – natural fit for community based action. Social change takes community - can't rely on NGOs, police, agencies, etc. to create that change.

Brought handouts to leave with board, wants to get word out. Recruiting 1-2 people in each neighborhood to get on board. There's child-friendly neighborhood efforts about, e.g. making sports/rec more supportive (less competitive/"yell at" environment). Attaining 5% of population active in an issue is the tipping point for social change (target benchmark).

Josh: So, clarify how FAN can help.

Phyllis – seeking 1-2 FAN reps on Eugene leadership team on what strategies will be implemented in Eugene (different than what works in other communities). Any members of the neighborhood are appropriate for representation (do not need to be active FAN board members).

Josh: FAN general meeting is held quarterly...opportunity to share info.

Conclusions:

FAN quarterly meetings are good opportunities to reach out and connect with neighborhood residents regarding 90by30.

Action items	Person responsible	Deadline
✓ None noted		

Agenda item: CSEN Update **Presenter:** Greg Giesy

Discussion: 7:34 pm

Greg attended both the regular CSEN meeting and SWSAZ committee meeting. Greg distributed notes/info from SWSAZ meeting held 3/1/16. Nancy Ellen also attended the CSEN meeting; 2nd part of agenda was on forming working groups on poverty/homelessness, elections, communication. The SWSAZ meeting was also attended by "WECAN".

Josh filled in the back story on WECAN (Walkable Eugene Community Advisory N-(something)) – the group formed in response to (councilor) Mike Clark motion on freezing R1 zoning and potential negative ramifications if adopted, but has evolved to focus at large on walkable neighborhoods, affordable housing, etc.

Kristina – related topic: personal quest to figure out how each NA is affected by SWSAZ – got info graphics from Jennifer Knapp, passed around a copy for board viewing. FAN comprises 76% of SWSAZ, individual tax lot wise is 78%; there is property value statistics also, but KL not sure what meaning to derive from that: 75%.

Lisa – asks about how much change risk is there by neighborhood – metric could be units per acre change for example.

Conclusions:

None noted

Action items	Person responsible	Deadline
✓ None noted		

Agenda item: FAN SWSAZ COMMITTEE UPDATE **Presenter:** Babe O'Sullivan

Discussion:

Babe is FAN SWSAZ committee volunteer co-chair with Anya Dobrowolski (Toolbox project lead). One of the committee charges is to report back to the board each month with any reports and recommendations. Tonight is committee's first meeting update; 2nd meeting is tomorrow: 6:00 – 8:00 at Washington Park Building. Babe is here to provide synopsis of last meeting and forecast for tomorrow.

15 people showed up at Feb meeting, including 5 FAN board members. Healthy respectful conversation; Josh helped usher airing of individual viewpoints which was very diverse and informative. SWSAZ committee provides a great forum for folks to share and learn in a healthy way these diverse viewpoints. Josh captured key points on white board (photo was circulated). Range of opinions voiced: fair amount of interest in social equity and how it is being addressed in rezoning proposal – does it impact affordable housing positively or negatively? Other themes emerged: hopes for committee (dig in deeper tomorrow), inform FAN position in CSEN group. Still some confusion over how FAN is represented in CSEN and how it is informed. Folks not as well informed about details of proposal as much as they would like to be – how to better inform membership? Interest in bringing back discussion to FAN board, which is why Babe is reporting out tonight.

Tomorrow - opportunity to provide feedback on city fact sheets to board. Brainstorm work plan for committee – how to accomplish goals set out for committee. Discussing having some kind of event at April meeting.

Josh – question to board: should we post committee agenda to website? Currently sent around agenda to previous attendees, maybe can provide a link.

MOTION	Moved by: Greg Giesy	Seconded by: Bernie Corrigan
Consolidate payments from sidewalk participants through FAN checking in order to simplify payment process.		
Discussion: Lisa asked how FAN ensures participants will pony up their share of expenses; Greg noted the money is collected in advance (i.e. FAN won't be fronting anybody). Bernie contends that FAN can accept tax deductible donations.		
Outcome: For: 12 Against: 0 Abstain: 0		Motion Carries

Todd asks for approval on letter he drafted to express FAN transportation priorities to city staff that was emailed to the board earlier this month.

MOTION	Moved by: Nancy Ellen Locke	Seconded by: Lisa Arkin
Approve letter to city expressing FAN's top 5 transportation improvement priorities.		
Discussion: Todd summarized the letter purpose based on previous FAN discussions; it outlines the top 5 transportation priorities for FAN on the 2014 map compiled by the transportation committee.		
Outcome: For: 10 Against: 0 Abstain: 2		Motion Carries

SUSTAINABILITY (Chair: Matt)

Matt mentioned common ground garden activity.

PUBLIC SAFETY (Chair: Carlos)

There is event this coming Saturday at Fire Station 15 testing CERT, earthquake trial. CERT teams will set up triage center at FS15, coordinate members/volunteers to test how task/work plan functions in a trial. This is the first time anything is coordinated with Fire Department.

Lisa added that Carlos will be on city club April 1 talking about CERT (Carlos notes this has not confirmed, but Lisa understands it is scheduled). Thinks will be joined by Josh Bruce from OSU.

Conclusions:

None noted

Action items	Person responsible	Deadline
✓ Send letter to city regarding transportation priorities	Todd	By next mtg

Agenda item:	UPDATE: OREGON CONSENSUS INTERVIEW	Presenter:	Nancy Ellen Locke Kristina Lang Lisa Arkin Greg Giesy
---------------------	---	-------------------	--

Discussion: 8:30 pm

Nancy Ellen – interview site was hard to locate but once there very hospitable, was asked if FAN had success working with other neighborhood issues; examples Woodfield Station development, Cascade Manor façade design. Worked past time allotted without stress, very open ended questions.

Kristina – good, diverse opinions, the interviewer just listened to it all. Uncertain next step – whether there will be a report.
Josh thinks they are working with lots of groups and will report back when finished.

Lisa – found that even though diverse opinion there was a lot of camaraderie and common ground/interest.
Josh also heard from Morgan (who participated but is absent) that process was good.

Nancy Ellen – interested in facilitation, working with other people – very solidly a group. Still would like to see the refinement plan track come out of this process.

Greg – participated from CSEN (not FAN per se), 4 reps (one from each neighborhood) spoke. 2 interviewers, one typist, one scribe, also went over time – 1:00-1:45 total. Seemed open to listening, clarifying understanding. If things could have been improved, would like for them to have been familiar with the site under question (meeting was in downtown office).
 Nancy Ellen – asked if they had visited the site (i.e. Willamette corridor), answer was NO, not ready in that process yet.
 Kristina – our interviewer was from Eugene and familiar (with South Willamette), other was from Portland.

Conclusions:

The consensus interview process was well-received, well-executed, and generated common ground. Doubt and uncertainty remains over next steps.

Action items	Person responsible	Deadline
✓ None noted		

Agenda item: BOARD 2016 PRIORITIES SURVEY **Presenter:** Josh Newman

Discussion:

Josh read through the survey monkey results (the online survey of FAN board he facilitated).
 Board willingness to participate: Lowest willing participation is Safety; highest willingness is building community with transportation/development
 Board’s overall impression of importance: land use and community is most important, safety/transportation less so
 Transportation priorities: sidewalk repair, Willamette Street, and traffic calming
 Outreach priorities: Community building and multimedia outreach
 Target audiences: low interest in seniors/youth, high interest in renters, not so high on parents – but board discussed the importance of this audience, the shifting/dynamics of 4J schools, where population/families will be locating.
 Kristina questions if FAN should have a motion to bring statement to Wednesday 4J board meeting to voice concern.

MOTION	Moved by: Kristina Lang	Seconded by: Nancy Ellen Locke
Board shall send a FAN rep to 4J meeting on Wednesday, March 16 to express FAN interest in retaining Willard School site property as reserve.		
Discussion: After debate, board initially tabled the topic; Kristina called the motion again given the timing of the 4J meeting.		
Outcome: For: 7 Against: 0 Abstain: 4		Motion Carries

Time check / agenda adjustment - currently 8:55, Tim will need to leave shortly; given no concerns over general meeting, Josh adjusted agenda to move forward on current agenda topic of priorities.

Sustainability events: work events (park maintenance) and pesticide free parks highest ranked.
 Greg noted that Friendly Park has many people involved, Washington Park has tailed off, maybe out of compliance (volunteers needed to keep maintenance) – city could be using pesticides, not sure. Deb understands that Washington Park there is no formal agreement with the city, whereas there is with Friendly. WP had large neighbor interest, not so much orchestrated through FAN, had seems to have tailed off.
 New opportunities for sustainability efforts: most favored was resource sharing, expertise sharing (biking, gardening, etc.) and second was carbon footprint reduction.

Bernie – prompted to interject urgent question of whether we want to re-engage Rob Tobias for this year’s picnic.

MOTION	Moved by: Bernie Corrigan	Seconded by: Nancy Ellen Locke
FAN shall secure Rob Tobias for summer picnic entertainment.		
Discussion: Bernie elaborated on urgency that just learned that Rob is getting booked for summer, needs to know FAN interest now or he won't be available.		
Outcome: For: 8 Against: 0 Abstain: 3		Motion Carries

Josh – what is the board opinion/takeaways of the survey?

Deb – what are next steps?

Todd notes large emphasis on community building regardless of topic, strong asset of NAs. In terms of Ward 1 candidate questions, there is clear emphasis on South Willamette issues and neighborhood sustainability.

Nancy Ellen notes Willard School discussion popped up a lot tonight.

Conclusions:

None noted

Action items	Person responsible	Deadline
✓ Attend 4J meeting to voice support for retaining Willard School property	Kristina	3/16 meeting
✓ Secure Rob Tobias for summer picnic entertainment	Bernie	ASAP

Agenda item: ANNOUNCEMENTS

Presenter: As noted

Discussion: 9:10 pm

Time check 9:10, some members need to leave.

- Josh suggests general meeting planning take place over email.
- Kristina has question for candidates: UGB expansion, SWSAZ thoughts, Willard School, restriping south Willamette.
- Nancy – shares that George Brown supports Emily Semple to follow through on George's issues.

Conclusions:

Interim general meeting planning to take place via FAN board email.

Action items	Person responsible	Deadline
✓ None noted		

Time Adjourned: 9:15 pm